

| Parish/Town Council Response | In overall terms has your NDP been beneficial, or otherwise? Please can you elaborate | What have been the most successful aspects? | What have been the least successful aspects? | What has your NDP achieved that is outside Arun's Local Plan | How long did it take to achieve 'made' status and at what cost |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| Aldingbourne | <p>Yes. It has provided a means of assessing important issues and provides a framework for our policies. Policies provide a means of assessing applications and provide the clerk with references that can be drawn on when providing the Parish Councils formal response, providing the clerk with clarity and saving time in composing a response.</p> <p>Unfortunately Planning within Arun District is now so dysfunctional that elements of the plan are regularly ignored but it has still been a useful document to have, not least in challenging Arun when NDP policies are not followed.</p> | <p>There are several aspects: providing a policy framework for the Parish to operate within, plus policies on key issues e.g. Flint Walls, Wildlife corridors. The policies on wildlife corridors have been extremely important in fighting inappropriate applications for development and steering developers away from sensitive sites. The ANDP was one of the first in the country to designate biodiversity corridors, for which there is provision in the NPPF and are now recognised as extremely important for wildlife.</p> | <p>Absence of any effective engagement with Arun District Council – the absence of any concept of partnership with Arun in the process and the absence within Arun of any concept of community engagement or of the importance of biodiversity. Arun has failed to invest resources in ecological advice, which has meant that the ANDP policies and evidence base have not been utilised when they should have been to challenge developers' proposed mitigation measures, which are often inadequate and inappropriate.</p> | <p>The NDP is a Planning Document and cannot include non-planning issues, but it can take aspects of the Local Plan further e.g. Biodiversity and Wildlife corridors. We have also included within the Plan community aspirations which provides a focus for claiming sec 106 monies.</p> | <p>Cir 18 months – with assistance from a third party that assisted with meetings and drafting policies around which we could have an effective discussion. We employed a planning consultant to assist with the final drafting to ensure that the policies were coherent in planning terms</p> |
| | | | | | |

| Parish/Town Council Response | In overall terms has your NDP been beneficial, or otherwise? Please can you elaborate | What have been the most successful aspects? | What have been the least successful aspects? | What has your NDP achieved that is outside Arun's Local Plan | How long did it take to achieve 'made' status and at what cost |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Angmering | I was not in post when the NDP was put together and "made" Councillors need to invest time in understanding and making the policies relevant and useable. Some of our members aren't aware of all the ins and outs for various reasons and don't use it to the best of its ability – I have tried. The policies contained in the plan are relevant but due to the Arun Local Plan issues they rarely stand up to scrutiny. The benefit now though is the amount of CIL we get from developments – although we would prefer ADC refuse them It is a lot of work for all involved. So, in a nutshell – if councillors are committed and understand the policies within the plan and how to use them then it's worth it. My only concern is the Arun Local Plan and their decision not to review it – this is why we are not reviewing ours at this point in time. | As I say I am unsure before October 2018 but understanding comments from councillors it was used well whilst those that worked on it were in position but as they left those replacing them understood it less. Ours was one of the first produced and quite a beast of a document so overwhelmed people. As I said above the CIL now is a big benefit. | The amount of time it took to create, how quickly it goes out of date, the need to update, how its policies can just be overridden, especially if there are issues with the Arun Local Plan, peoples unwillingness to read, understand and use it to its full potential. | Unable to quantify really. Lots of our major developments were part of the local plan and since then our policies have been quoted within officer's reports but its more working with the developer that has bought about change. | It took approximately 3 years. Looking at accounts as much as I can it seems to be approximately £17,000. Grants were received from Front Runner and what looks like a publicity grant too. |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Parish/Town Council Response | In overall terms has your NDP been beneficial, or otherwise? Please can you elaborate | What have been the most successful aspects? | What have been the least successful aspects? | What has your NDP achieved that is outside Arun's Local Plan | How long did it take to achieve 'made' status and at what cost |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| <p>Bognor Regis</p> | <p>Reflecting back on the process, my immediate thought is that it took a lot of work and time with really very little genuine benefit. However, that's in part because we don't really have any land to develop in Bognor Regis and already had strategic sites identified that we couldn't do anything about. It may well be that that is not the case in MOS where they might have preferred sites for housing.</p> | <p>In terms of success generally there have been occasions when our plan has been alluded to in regard to preventing undesirable developments and supporting others - eg residential development above retail in the town centre. But of course it's also reliant on councillors on the planning committee actually referring to it and using it to guide decision making. I think the identification of heritage assets and improved connection of various locations that it bought to the fore were useful, and interesting, but arguably didn't justify the sheer amount of officer time it took up to document them. It also requires a dedicated and enthusiastic committee to actually drive it and contribute to the consultation and community engagement</p> | <p>Things that didn't work well -the 25% CIL money had also not really materialised due to the CIL structure - we haven't really had any great benefit from that. We have also not really had much more influence than prior to the NP on development -either the type or the style/appearance - I don't honestly think our voice as a town council is any stronger at Arun than it was before!</p> | <p>We are a town without much land other than small sites, so this may well be a different matter in a smaller village. We had a lot of debate at the time over matters such as flats on the seafront, but were consistently told we could not state we didn't want them in our plan-and indeed Arun did override us on several points before they would accept our draft, which was constantly a source of frustration as we could not have the plan we really wanted- which kind of negated the point of having it!</p> | <p>It must have taken around two-three years to bring to referendum - where there was an exceptionally poor turnout. The lack of interest in it from Bognor residents was notable throughout the process -almost all of our committee members were from outside of our parish area.</p> <p>For Bognor Regis it really wasn't worth it - and I'd not suggest we repeat the exercise! But it might well be beneficial for a village to have one if potential sites are available, and residents feel strongly about where development should go if there is a choice. But don't underestimate the cost or the time required to complete it!</p> |

| Parish/Town Council Response | In overall terms has your NDP been beneficial, or otherwise? Please can you elaborate | What have been the most successful aspects? | What have been the least successful aspects? | What has your NDP achieved that is outside Arun's Local Plan | How long did it take to achieve 'made' status and at what cost |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| East Preston | It probably has been beneficial, but it is a very difficult thing to quantify as we are in an area which has no greenspace upon which development can take, and therefore there are not the big Applications to fight. That said, it has been beneficial as it usually makes it easier for us to pin our objections upon a legal framework, but we could not say the District Council always agrees with our use of the Neighbourhood Plan when objecting to Applications. It's nice to see when the Neighbourhood Plan is included in the reasons for a Planning Application being refused, but if you asked my councillors they would say the Plan is referred to but then "ignored" by ADC. | We split the village into four Character Areas and included specific policies for those areas such as "predominantly single-storey", "predominantly open-plan front gardens" and we have been able to use those policies to protect those characteristics, but not always successfully. | Nothing has been especially unsuccessful. We did include a list of Heritage Assets we hoped the District Council would do something with, but that has not happened, despite initial promises that would be looked into across the parts of the District which did have Neighbourhood Plans in place. Because of that, one of the Heritage Assets, a cottage dating back to 1850, interesting in that it faced directly onto probably the oldest road in the village, but not interesting enough to be nationally listed, has been demolished and the site redeveloped. | I suppose the list of Assets of Community Value are not covered by Arun's Local Plan. That said, once the registrations had expired after five years, we have not (yet) bothered to submit any for relisting, partly because the evidence needed is far greater now and partly because being an Asset of Community Value does not bring with it the protection people thought. | We had grants. I think we probably spent about £24,000 and there are still grants available. Council resolved in April 2012 to produce a Neighbourhood Plan and first sought community involvement a year later. Referendum was on 28 th January 2015 and the Plan accepted by ADC in March 2015. We used O'Neill Homer as consultants and will probably use them again when we get around to reviewing our Plan. |

| Parish/Town Council Response | In overall terms has your NDP been beneficial, or otherwise? Please can you elaborate | What have been the most successful aspects? | What have been the least successful aspects? | What has your NDP achieved that is outside Arun's Local Plan | How long did it take to achieve 'made' status and at what cost |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| Felpham | Our NDP was made prior to me taking up the post as Clerk so not in a position to respond in that we are yet to experience the benefit whilst I have been in the post | The NDP was very useful when preparing a response to the Bognor Golf Club application it was an invaluable reference for Councillors when considering any application | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| <i>Parish/Town Councils who have not responded</i> | | | | | |
| <i>Arundel</i> | | | | | |
| <i>Barnham & Eastergate</i> | | | | | |
| <i>Climping</i> | | | | | |
| <i>Ferring</i> | | | | | |
| <i>Ford</i> | | | | | |
| <i>Kingston</i> | | | | | |
| <i>Littlehampton</i> | | | | | |
| <i>Rustington</i> | | | | | |
| <i>Walberton</i> | | | | | |
| <i>Yapton</i> | | | | | |